

AN OVERVIEW OF CEPHALOPOD SYSTEMATICS: STATUS, PROBLEMS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

BY CLYDE F. E. ROPER

Department of Invertebrate Zoology—Molluscs
National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., U.S.A.

Abstract

This paper reviews the current status of systematics of Recent cephalopods (squids, cuttlefishes, octopuses and nautilus) on a world-wide basis. It includes lists of recent revisionary publications (1960-1981), and revisions in progress. Problems that impede progress in cephalopod systematics are discussed, including the taxonomic and geographic complexity of the group, lack of comprehensive collections and well preserved specimens, scarcity of classical studies, scattered literature, and lack of funding for research and education. The situation in Australia is cited as an example of the status of cephalopod systematics in most other regions of the world. A list of Australian species in four major families (Sepiidae, Loliginidae, Ommastrephidae, Octopodidae) is presented, as is a bibliography of the cephalopod biological literature of the Australian region.

Recommendations are given in an effort to improve the status of cephalopod systematics and consequently to provide information required in other fields (e.g., biomedical research, behavior, ecology, parasitology, fisheries biology): (1) recognition of need for research and education and for increased funding to support them; (2) training and development of regional (geographic) specialists as well as taxon-oriented (world-wide) specialists; (3) support and production of keys to identification, catalogues of important collections, and revisions and monographs; (4) establishment of national, regional, and world-wide authoritative reference collections; (5) designations of four major families of cephalopods in critical need of comprehensive systematic revision (Sepiidae, Loliginidae, Ommastrephidae, Octopodidae).

Introduction

Voss (1977) presented an historical account of major systematic works and pointed out reasons for the comparatively primitive state of our knowledge concerning cephalopod systematics. The present review deals with the broader aspects of systematics and morphology in the context of the theme of the International Workshop on the Biology and Resource Potential of Cephalopods (Melbourne, Australia, March, 1981). The topics discussed include the current status of systematics in cephalopods, problems that impede the progress of cephalopod systematics, the status of systematics of Australian cephalopods, and recommendations for enhancing systematic programs in cephalopods that will aid fisheries scientists as well as advance general systematic knowledge.

The term "systematics" (taxonomy) is used here in its broadest sense to cover all aspects from descriptions of taxa, classification, phylogeny, zoogeography, taxonomic life history, population analysis, and comparative and functional morphology. The basis of all modern systematics, of course, is morphology.

Ideally, a thorough knowledge of the systematics of a species is the required founda-

tion upon which all other biological and resource management studies must be based, because the biology of each species is different. This ideal, however, is seldom realized for a variety of reasons. Comprehensive collections, time, and trained specialists, to mention only a few, are the necessary requirements for thorough systematic studies.

Several examples of the lack of systematic knowledge about cephalopods exist in reference to fisheries. Several years ago a vigorous fishery developed for squid in New Zealand waters, based on the single known species in the area, *Nototodarus sloani* (Gray, 1849), which is restricted to those waters. As biological information accumulated for support of the fishery and for development of a management scheme, significant inconsistencies in occurrence and distribution became apparent. Requested systematic studies then confirmed the presence of a second species, *Todarodes filippovae* Adam, 1975, which subsequently has been recorded circum-globally in the southern regions of all three oceans. More recently, detailed biological studies have demonstrated the existence of several distinct populations of the two known species, as well as the presence

of a third, undescribed species (Smith, Roberts & Hurst, 1981).

In the Gulf of Campeche, Mexico, a traditional fishery was based on *Octopus vulgaris* Lamarck, 1798, a ubiquitous octopus of broad distribution. In the absence of local studies, knowledge about the biology of *O. vulgaris* from other seas was applied to the Campeche octopus for fishery statistics and management purposes. The discovery that the octopus was indeed a new species, described as *O. maya* Voss and Solis, 1966, with a very different life history, explained the problems that had plagued biologists assigned to study the fishery and develop recommendations.

More recently, an expanding fishery has developed in Australia based on the ommastrephid squid, *Nototodarus gouldi* (McCoy, 1888). Australian fisheries agencies are interested in accumulating sufficient knowledge in order to formulate management plans before the population becomes too severely impacted by the fishery, a most commendable goal. However, the recent discovery of another species of *Nototodarus* sympatric in the northern range of *N. gouldi*, as well as *Todaropsis eblanae* (C. C. Lu, pers. comm., 1982), dictates a very cautious and detailed approach. The existence of seven additional species of ommastrephid squids (M. Dunning and C. C. Lu, pers. comm.) now known to inhabit Australian waters graphically demonstrates the need for immediate, intensive systematic studies.

These examples vividly demonstrate that we must have sound systematic knowledge about species and populations if we are to approach the truth about the biology, ecology, behavior, and fisheries of these forms. But frequently scientists in these disciplines cannot wait for systematic revisions to be completed. Often, the best that can be hoped for is an identification of the species being studied or fished based on the most recent revision, which may, in fact, be decades old and not comprehensive in geographical coverage, life stages, etc. Clearly this does not solve the problems.

Current Status

General Review.—The status of cephalopod

systematics perhaps can be reviewed best by listing the revisions published during the last two decades, the "recent era" (Table 1). I have interpreted the term revision rather broadly, so that researchers interested in a particular group will have a starting point; therefore, several works listed are not true revisions in the strict systematic sense. Further, it is interesting that most of the works deal with families and genera with (1) small numbers of species, (2) oceanic or deep-sea forms, or (3) small-sized animals. While some of these studies may be very important to cephalopod systematics and phylogeny in general, they are of little help to fisheries biologists or others who need systematically

TABLE 1
Systematic Revisions of Cephalopoda,
1960-1981.*

Adam and Rees (1966)—Sepiidae
Adam (1979)—Australian Sepiidae
Clarke (1980)—beaks from predators
Cohen (1976)—western Atlantic <i>Loligo</i>
Hochberg (1980)—Gulf of California Octopods
Imber (1978)—southern Pacific Gonatidae & Cranchiidae
Kristensen (1981)—Atlantic <i>Gonatus</i>
Kubodera and Okutani (1977 & 1981)—Pacific <i>Gonatus</i>
Kubodera and Okutani (1981)—Pacific teuthoid larvae
Mangold-Wirz (1963)—Mediterranean Cephalopoda
McSweeney (1978)— <i>Galiteuthis</i>
Natsukari (1975—with Okutani & 1976)—Pacific loliginids
Nesis (1972 & 1974)—Cranchiidae
Nesis (1973)—Gonatidae
Okiyama (1969 & 1970)— <i>Gonatopsis</i>
Okutani (1973 & 1974)—Western Pacific squids
Okutani (1976—with Satake, Ohsumi, & Kawakami, & 1978—with Satake)—Sperm whale diet
Okutani (1981)—Indian Ocean <i>Onykia</i>
Roeleveld (1972)—South African Sepiidae
Roper (1969)—Bathyteuthidae
Roper, Lu, and Mangold (1969)— <i>Illex</i>
Roper and Young (1968)—Promachoteuthidae
Roper, Young and Voss (1969)—Key to teuthoid families
Saunders (1981)— <i>Nautilus</i>
Taki (1961, 1963, 1964)—Octopodidae
Thomas (1977)—Tremoctopus
Voss, G. (1962)—Lycoteuthidae
Voss, G. (1963)—Philippine Cephalopoda
Voss, G. (1968 & 1971)—Octopodidae
Voss, G. (1976)—Deep Water Octopoda
Voss, N. (1969)—Histiotethidae
Voss, N. (1974 & 1980)—Cranchiidae
Wormuth (1976)—Pacific Ommastrephidae
Young (1972)—Eastern Pacific Cephalopoda
Young and Roper (1968)—Batoteuthidae
Young and Roper (1969)—Cycloteuthidae
Young and Roper (1969)—Joubiniteuthidae

* Full citations are included in the Literature Cited section.

sound information. Curiously, the families most in need of comprehensive, systematic revisions are those that are of greatest importance to fisheries on a world-wide basis. These include:

- Sepiidae, the cuttlefishes; *Sepia*, *Sepiella*
- Loliginidae, the inshore, neritic, myopsid squids; *Loligo*, *Doryteuthis*, *Lolliguncula*, *Loliolus*, *Sepioteuthis*, *Alloteuthis*, *Uroteuthis*, *Loliopsis*
- Ommastrephidae, the neritic and upper pelagic oceanic squids; *Illex*, *Todarodes*, *Todaropsis*, *Nototodarus*, *Ommastrephes*, *Dosidicus*, *Ornithoteuthis*, *Symplectoteuthis*, *Martialia*
- Octopodidae, the inshore, benthic octopuses; *Octopus*, *Cistopus*, *Hapalochlaena* etc.

Species of these four families sustain approximately 90% of the world's fisheries catch. (Species of the Gonatidae and Onychoteuthidae are emerging as exploited stocks but their contribution to the total catch currently is small; certainly they require systematic treatment as well.)

Table 2 lists the groups (families or genera) of cephalopods known to be under revision currently. Here again most of these revisions are on groups that have little direct application to fisheries, with the exception of the ommastrephids, loliginids, and possibly the gonatids and octopods. There is, of course, important indirect application of these revisions as well as those already published, in that most of these cephalopods form an extremely significant part in the diets of many fishes and toothed whales of commercial importance. Other marine mammals and pelagic birds prey extensively on cephalopods as well, so systematic knowledge of all groups indeed is valuable to biologists studying other marine organisms.

Problems. — The large families, so important as fisheries resources, largely have been ignored insofar as their systematics are concerned. Their importance is universally recognized, not only in fisheries but in prey-predator, behavioral and biomedical research, and yet they seem to remain untouched. Why? Some of the reasons are linked to the problems that we face in systematics in general and these are

TABLE 2

Revisions of Cephalopod Groups Known to be in Progress.*

Burgess—Central Pacific <i>Abralia</i> and <i>Abraliopsis</i> ; <i>Enoploteuthis</i> (1982)
Bublitz—North Pacific Gonatidae
Clarke—Keys to cephalopod beaks
Hochberg—Eastern Pacific octopods
Kubodera and Kristensen—Gonatidae
Lu—Australian Loliginidae and Ommastrephidae
Roeleveld—Ommastrephidae
Roper and Young—Chroteuthidae
Roper and Sweeney—Brachioteuthidae
Toll—Octopodidae
Voss, G.—Enoploteuthinae, <i>Abralia</i> and <i>Abraliopsis</i>
Voss, G.—Cirrata, deep-sea octopods
Voss, N.—Cranchiidae

* Please consult with individual authors concerning the status of these revisions; addresses are provided in Appendix 1.

compounded by a few specific problems, such as large numbers of poorly known species. The four major families mentioned above comprise about 50% of the known species of cephalopods. Estimates indicate that there are over 100 species of octopodids, about 100 species of sepiids, 40 to 50 species of ommastrephids, and 60 to 80 species of loliginids; so the largest, most speciose families of cephalopods must be dealt with. As most of the genera at least are world-wide in distribution, systematic collections are grossly inadequate. Also the literature is widely scattered, in many different languages and journals, and of widely varying quality. Furthermore, often it is difficult to get the literature, particularly the older, obscure, but nonetheless important works. Another reason is that the type specimens, the specimens upon which species names are based and which are so vital for comparative studies, no longer exist in many cases and no lectotypes have been established. Because of the soft-bodied nature of cephalopods, they require special attention for initial fixation and long-term preservation. Many older specimens lacked that attention, and were allowed to dry out or are in such poor condition that the important systematic characters are no longer distinguishable. It is very discouraging for a systematist to visit an old museum with great anticipation which

quickly dissolves to disappointment when the holotype turns out to be a bit of sludge or slurry in the bottom of the jar. Poor fixation and preservation are not limited by any means to the old collections. While it is not universally true, very frequently if a systematist wants to have good systematic-quality specimens, properly fixed and preserved material, he must collect and prepare them himself, or at least instruct others on proper techniques of fixation. (Guidelines to techniques of preservation are published elsewhere in these proceedings (Roper & Sweeney, 1983)).

Samples taken during fisheries surveys or non-systematically oriented collecting programs frequently are most conveniently frozen. Thawed specimens or those casually fixed after freezing, however, do not make adequate material for systematic analysis. For example, many characters, such as the viscera and the hectocotylus, become soft, flacid, and amorphous; sucker rings, often of such great taxonomic value, become dislodged from the suckers and lost. Fixation of at least a portion of the fresh sample in 8-10% buffered formalin will ensure that important taxonomic characters are preserved; fixed material should contain both males and females as well as specimens from the whole range of sizes available.

Octopuses fixed in formalin (or other fixative) while still alive are extremely difficult if not impossible to work with, because they contract so vigorously that their arms become tightly coiled, immeasurable coil springs, the mantle a solid lump, and the viscera, a congealed, half-rotted mass, untouched by fixative that could not penetrate rapidly enough through the contracted mantle muscle and closed-off mantle opening. To avoid these problems, octopuses must be narcotized or killed in fresh water, then fixed while the arms are kept straight. No cephalopod, squid, cuttlefish or octopus, should ever be fixed in a container shorter than the total length (less tentacles of squids and cuttlefishes) of the specimen. The soft-bodied creatures become permanently molded in the position they initially are fixed in, whether they be squeezed into a jar or laid out in a tray. (Of course, if no selection of con-

tainers exists, a specimen may be carefully folded at the neck and fixed, rather than have no specimen.)

Another hindrance to major systematic studies on cephalopods is the problem of adequate samples. Too frequently the collections are poorly preserved, from widely scattered localities, inadequate in numbers, and lack various life stages. The life stages of very few species are known. In fact, in many of the oceanic species only the larvae and juveniles have been described. Moreover, specimens of large species, e.g., of *Architeuthis*, *Moroteuthis*, ommastrephids often are not preserved. Only in the last decade or so with the use of very large mid-water trawls and examination of predator gut contents have we begun to acquire adults of many forms (Clarke, 1977, 1980; Roper, 1977). Cephalopods frequently are extremely difficult to catch; because they are very perceptive, and very fast swimmers, they are able to avoid the nets. Capturing adequate samples is so difficult, in fact, that those of us who sample oceanic and midwater groups insist that we catch only the slow, the sick and the stupid. A recent workshop on problems of assessing populations of macronekton addressed this problem (Wormuth and Roper, 1983). With cephalopods recognized as an extremely frustrating group for biologists, how can we begin to assess populations when we catch only two or three specimens? Adequate samples for purposes of identification and systematic study should consist of specimens of both sexes from the full range of sizes available and from as broad a geographic range as possible.

Still another problem in the systematics of cephalopods is related to the nature of their structure and morphology. Because cephalopods are soft-bodied, lack an external shell (except *Nautilus*), have no fin rays, no bones, and no spines, an element of frustration and difficulty is introduced. That is not to say that no taxonomic characters exist, but it does mean that systematics must search extremely diligently for taxonomic characters, some of which may be obscure and/or minute. Cephalopods, in general, don't have the type of meristic characters that occur in crustaceans, fishes and

shelled mollusks, for example. Furthermore, we still are at a very primitive stage in knowledge about the characters themselves. Until very recently, there seemed to be a lack of recognition and definition of new characters or character states. As yet, we don't know the range of variability of characters across the geographical range of most species, and we don't know how this range of variation applies to species, sub-species and populations. In part, this lack of knowledge is a result of the lack of adequate collections.

A further problem is that in general there is a lack of comparative systematic studies. Currently no internationally established standards exist for descriptions of cephalopods, so a wide variety in the quality of descriptions exists. The strong recommendation for the establishment of minimum standards for descriptions of cephalopod species was made during this workshop and has resulted in its implementation and publication in these proceedings (Roper & Voss, 1983). Each description of every new species of cephalopod published hereafter should follow these guidelines, so that all necessary characters are described. The botanists have very standardized techniques for describing species, as do many entomologists and crustacean taxonomists. Oftentimes authors describe only a few of the characters, only the most obvious ones, or only the positive characters, so that when more than the original specimen are examined, they are unidentifiable. We have claimed that no problem exists in the systematics of cephalopods as long as only one specimen is present, but as soon as a second is at hand, problems arise, because variation rears its Hydra-head and we simply do not know enough about variation in cephalopod characters and character states. We must increase our knowledge of all of the characters in cephalopods, positive and negative, so that we can conduct the detailed comparisons with other closely related species so necessary for a more thorough understanding of their biology.

Illustrations play a vital role in the descriptions of cephalopods, but currently as broad a range of variation exists in the quality of illustrations as in descriptions. Illustrations often are poorly rendered, lack detail, are absent

altogether, or they appear as photographs. While a photograph may be adequate for the general habitus of a cephalopod, it is extremely difficult to show the fine details of characters of squids and other cephalopods (photomicrographs and SEM photos excepted). Lists of illustrations accompany the standards for descriptions mentioned above. Certain characters always should be illustrated and those required illustrations should be of a high standard. Standards of descriptions and illustrations will help form the basis for modern comparative morphological studies that lead to an understanding of the systematics and phylogeny of the group.

An attitudinal problem also exists. Because systematics often is looked upon as an archaic, unexciting science, it is difficult to attract students, researchers and science administrators to an appreciation of the necessity for systematic research. Part of the problem lies with systematists themselves who often have failed to promote their science. When personal attitudes and the archaic image are changed, systematics will attract more students and the field will advance. In fact, the field now seems to be enjoying a resurgence of "popularity" due largely to the application of new technology (e.g., scanning and transmission electron microscopy) and "new" analytical approaches, such as cladistics. Application of these techniques should be boldly encouraged and tested as tools to aid modern systematic research.

The lack of funding for systematic research is the last problem I shall discuss. Part of this problem is related to the attitudinal problem—systematics is not particularly trendy or flashy and that affects the thinking of funding agencies and administrators. Modern systematists require more support than the magnifying lenses and green eye shades of their predecessors of past centuries. As much money is required to support comprehensive, modern systematic research as for many other kinds of research. For example, if collections of marine organisms must be made, the cost of ship time alone can be very significant. Until additional funding is directed toward systematic research on cephalopods, the field will advance too slowly and too sporadically to meet the

demands for systematic information (identifications, fishery management, relationships, zoogeographic distributions, etc.).

Regional Example: Australia. — A bit of history concerning the systematics of cephalopods in Australia will serve as an example of the status of systematics in general. Perhaps Australian cephalopod history goes back to the days of Captain Cook. Certainly Captain Cook was greeted by stranded cuttlebones, as well as goannas (lizards) when he landed on Lizard Island in search of an escape route through the Great Barrier Reef. The Dutch and French were among the first to have made collections during their early exploring expeditions; the *Astrolabe* and the *Geographie*, for example, collected species that were described by Quoy and Gaimard in 1832. Gray described several Australian species in 1849 from material brought back by the British explorers. The major contributor to Australian cephalopod systematics in the 19th Century was W. E. Hoyle who in 1875 described the material from the *Challenger* Expedition that went up through the Coral Sea and the Arafura Sea. But, in general, the status of systematics of cephalopods in Australia largely has remained at a primitive level, primarily because no specialist in cephalopods has worked in Australia. T. Iredale did describe a large number of species and genera of cuttlefish based on cuttlebones, but all those taxa must be questioned until verified with specimens. (See Appendix 2 for a bibliography of Australian cephalopod literature).

The Australian state museums have collected cephalopod material and maintain collections. Reports of their holdings are included as papers in this volume (Lu, 1983; Rudman, 1983; Slack-Smith, 1983; Zeidler, 1983). Just as the four major families, Sepiidae, Loliginidae, Ommastrephidae and Octopodidae are considered in critical need of systematic revision on a world-wide basis, they are equally in need of revisionary studies in Australia. In Australia, members of these families are the most accessible, the most abundant, and the most important for active and potential fisheries. The species in these families are listed in Table 3 as an indication of their importance in Australian

waters. These are species recorded or described from Australia but the validity of many must be verified by further investigation.

TABLE 3

Nominal species of Sepiidae, Loliginidae, Ommastrephidae and Octopodidae described or reported from Australian waters.

A. Sepiidae

Sepia

apama Gray, 1849
bandensis Adam, 1938
bartletti (Iredale, 1954)
baxteri (Iredale, 1940)
braggi Verco, 1907
chirotrema Berry, 1918
cottesloensis (Cotton, 1929)
cottoni Adam, 1979
cultrata Hoyle, 1885
dannevigi Berry, 1918
elliptica Hoyle, 1885
galei Meyer, 1909
gemellus (Iredale, 1926)
genista (Iredale, 1954)
glauerti (Cotton, 1929)
hedleyi Berry, 1918
hendryae (Cotton, 1929)
irvingi Meyer, 1909
jaenschi (Cotton, 1931)
lana (Iredale, 1954)
liliana (Iredale, 1926)
limata (Iredale, 1926)
macilenta (Iredale, 1926)
mestus Gray, 1849
mira (Cotton, 1932)
novaehollandiae Hoyle, 1909
occidua (Cotton, 1929)
opipara (Iredale, 1926)
ostanes (Iredale, 1954)
pageora (Iredale, 1954)
papuensis Hoyle, 1885
parysatis (Iredale, 1954)
pfefferi Hoyle, 1885
pharaonis Ehrenberg, 1831
plangon Gray, 1849
reesi Adam, 1979
rex (Iredale, 1926)
rhoda (Iredale, 1954)
rozella (Iredale, 1926)
smithi Hoyle, 1885
submestus (Iredale, 1926)
treba (Iredale, 1954)
vercoi Adam, 1979
versuta (Iredale, 1926)
whitleyana (Iredale, 1926)

B. Loliginidae

Loligo

chinensis Gray, 1849
etheridgei Berry, 1918
edulis Hoyle, 1885

Doryteuthis

sibogae Adam, 1954
singhalensis (Ortman, 1891)

TABLE 3 continued

<i>Loliolus</i>
n. sp. Lu, Roper & Tait, In press
<i>Sepioteuthis</i>
<i>australis</i> Quoy and Gaimard, 1832
<i>bilineata</i> (Quoy and Gaimard, 1832)
<i>lessoniana</i> Lesson, 1830
C. Ommastrephidae
<i>Nototodarus</i>
<i>Gouldi</i> (McCoy, 1888)
<i>sloani</i> (Gray, 1849)
species undetermined
n. sp. (New Zealand)
<i>Symplectoteuthis</i>
<i>oualaniensis</i> (Lesson, 1830)
<i>luminosa</i> Sasaki, 1915
<i>Todarodes</i>
<i>filippovae</i> Adam, 1975
<i>Todaropsis</i>
<i>eblanae</i> (Ball, 1841)
<i>Ommastrephes</i>
<i>bartrami</i> (Lesueur, 1821)
<i>Ornithoteuthis</i>
<i>volatilis</i> Sasaki, 1915
<i>Hyaloteuthis</i>
<i>pelagica</i> (Bosc, 1802)
D. Octopodidae
<i>Octopus</i>
<i>adamsi</i> Benham, 1944
<i>australis</i> Hoyle, 1885
<i>cordiformis</i> Quoy and Gaimard, 1832
<i>cyaneus</i> Gray, 1849
<i>duplex</i> Hoyle, 1885
<i>flindersi</i> Cotton, 1932
<i>macropus</i> Risso, 1826
<i>maorum</i> Hutton, 1880
<i>membranaceus</i> Quoy and Gaimard, 1832
<i>pallida</i> Hoyle, 1885
<i>rugosus</i> (Bosc, 1792)
<i>superciliosus</i> Quoy and Gaimard, 1832
<i>tenebricus</i> Smith, 1884
<i>tetricus</i> Gould, 1852
<i>zealandicus</i> (Benham, 1944)
<i>Hapalochlaena</i> *
<i>lunulata</i> (Quoy and Gaimard, 1832)
<i>maculosa</i> (Hoyle, 1883)

* G. Voss (pers. comm.) believes that *Hapalochlaena* cannot be maintained as a separate genus and intends to incorporate it with *Octopus*.

Recommendations

Since a lack of systematic knowledge is a major impediment to the progress of research on and utilization of cephalopods, I shall make some recommendations that I believe are important for the future of systematic research on cephalopods.

1. First of all, we must put forth the strongest recommendations to increase the financial support for systematic research.

Financial support is urgently needed for maintenance of collections and data; for hiring assistants and, especially, illustrators; for costs of publication; for modern equipment, e.g., histological instruments and microscopes; for training students; and for hiring and supporting trained systematists. An impetus to train students, for example, would be recognition by quality universities that systematics is a legitimate science worthy of sustaining advanced degree research. There are major universities in many countries at which theses in systematic topics are not allowed for a doctoral dissertation. Recognition and support by various funding agencies, both basic and applied, as well as a concerted and coordinated effort by existing systematists and by the users or beneficiaries of systematic research, will (or should) provide the persuasion for universities and institutes to offer programs and curricula in systematics. Furthermore, fishery biologists and administrators must recognize that cephalopods represent an exploitable resource with immense potential on a world-wide basis. Cephalopods must be studied in the same manner that all other major fisheries species are studied: the systematics, the whole animal biology, and the populations.

2. Another recommendation concerns the types of specialization required for cephalopod systematics. Training and encouragement are required to develop these specialists.

a. One type is the regional specialist², a scientist who is knowledgeable about the systematics of all species that occur within a region, e.g., Australia, or the Indo-west Pacific. These systematists are necessary to define the fauna that exists within the region, as well as to respond to the requirements of fisheries biologists or biomedical researchers, for example.

b. The other type of specialist is one who studies the systematics of cephalopods at the taxon level but on a world-wide basis. Not only is this approach necessary for the basic science itself, but also it is important to be able to respond on a world-wide basis to the needs of non-specialists with information about particular taxa.

3. A number of useful services or products can be rendered by cephalopod systematists, particularly in the form of publications.

a. Some of the most useful publications, certainly to those who are not authorities in cephalopods, are *keys* to the identification of species. Fisheries biologists, or biologists who study predators of cephalopods, e.g. marine mammals, pelagic birds, pelagic fishes, must know the identity of the species involved in the fishery or as prey organisms. So the recommendation cannot be too strongly made that identification keys be produced as soon as a regional fauna or a taxonomic group is sufficiently known to accurately support such aids.

b. Another aid, to be strongly recommended particularly to systematists themselves, is the *catalogue* that lists the type material or important historical collections that are housed in a particular museum. These are especially important for museums that have large, historically, nomenclatorially important collections, e.g. the British Museum of Natural History and the Museum de Histoire Natural in Paris. While a few catalogues have been prepared (Smith, 1974, California Academy of Sciences; Roper & Sweeney, 1978, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution; Zeidler & MacPhail, 1978, South Australian Museum) and one is in preparation for the Zoological Museum, Copenhagen (Knudsen & Kristensen, pers. comm.); catalogues are lacking for all other major collections of the world. Catalogues are extremely useful not only to specialists but to other biologists who may wish to refer to the collections.

c. Finally, publications in the form of thorough systematic *revisions* and *monographs* are the ultimate product of the systematist. Not only do these consist of keys, catalogues, and illustrations, but they should represent the most complete analysis possible concerning the classification, nomenclature, phylogeny, zoogeography, life history, morphology, populations, etc. of the taxonomic group. Because of the breadth of biological topics of a modern systematic monograph, the very strongest recommenda-

tion is given for their support and encouragement.

4. A strong need exists to build up authoritative reference collections, particularly in areas where traditionally no sustained cephalopods studies have been conducted, e.g., Australia and South America. Such collections now are needed to support the systematic studies that are increasingly required by fisheries or biomedical researchers, for example. In addition, reference collections should be initiated to encourage deposition of material derived from regional exploratory surveys and local fisheries in order to have available material for the future systematic studies that certainly will be necessary. An example of this type of collection is the Australian Museum in Sydney where for years the need for a reference collection of cephalopods has been recognized and such a collection has been built up, largely as a result of fisheries explorations. Now, with the rapidly developing Australian squid fisheries, much important comparative material is available for the systematic studies that are necessary. Such authoritative reference collections are necessary on national, regional, and world-wide levels.

5. A strong recommendation is given to designate four major families of cephalopods that are in critical need of modern, comprehensive systematic revisions. These are the Sepiidae, Loliginidae, Ommastrephidae, and Octopodidae. They are critical families in that (1) they contain the largest numbers of species that occur in the greatest abundance, primarily in neritic, benthic, or epipelagic habitats; (2) they comprise the great majority of the fishery resource (at least 90%), both currently exploited and potentially; (3) they comprise the species that support biomedical, ecological and other biological research; (4) they are among the most poorly known cephalopods so far as their world-wide systematics is concerned. Researchers, educators, administrators, and funding agencies are urged to recognize the critical status of these families and to encourage and develop the research, educational and financial climate necessary to ensure that these important cephalopods receive the attention required to improve our knowledge and under-

standing. (The Gonatidae and Onychoteuthidae might be added to this list as they are quite speciose and have a potential of significant development in the future).

In view of the status of the world-wide economy it may seem unrealistic to make recommendations that can be carried out primarily through financial commitment. But financial support alone will not advance the science or the development of the resources. Progress in both the scientific and the commercial realms will be achieved only with the combination of financial support and attitudinal commitments. Cephalopods are too valuable a resource for basic and applied purposes for us not to make these firm commitments now for both immediate and future considerations.

Acknowledgments

I am most grateful to Michael J. Sweeney, National Museum of Natural History, for his assistance in compilation of the data in the tables, appendix, and literature cited. Ingrid H. Roper kindly compiled the first draft of the bibliography of Australian cephalopod literature based on records at the Australian Museum, Sydney. Sherry Petry also is thanked for bringing the literature cited up to date by adding the most recent references. The manuscript was reviewed by F. G. Hochberg, Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, C. C. Lu, National Museum of Victoria, who also provided additions to the species lists and bibliography, and G. L. Voss, University of Miami; I very much appreciate their suggestions.

Literature Cited

- ADAM, W., 1979. The Sepiidae (Cephalopoda, Decapoda) in the collections of the Western Australian Museum. *Rec. West. Aust. Mus.* 7(2): 111-212.
- ADAM, W. & REES, W. J., 1966. A review of the cephalopod family Sepiidae. *Sci. Rep. John Murray Exp.* 1933-34 11(1): 1-165.
- BURGESS, L. A., 1982. Four new species of oegopsid squids (*Enoploteuthis*) from the Central Pacific and a description of adult *Enoploteuthis reticulata*. *Fish. Bull.* 80(4): 703-734.
- CLARKE, M. R., 1977. Beaks, nets and numbers. In: M. Nixon & J. B. Messenger (Eds.). *The Biology of Cephalopods. Symp. Zool. Soc. Lond.* No. 38, 615 pp.
- CLARKE, M. R., 1980. Cephalopoda in the diet of sperm whales of the southern hemisphere and their bearing on sperm whale biology. *Discovery Rep.* 37: 1-324.
- CLARKE, M. R. & KRISTENSEN, T. K., 1980. Cephalopod beaks from the stomachs of two northern bottle-nosed whales (*Hyperoodon ampullatus*). *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc., U.K.* 60: 152-256.
- CLARKE, M. R. & MACLEOD, N., 1974. Cephalopod remains from a sperm whale caught off Vigo, Spain. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc., U.K.* 54: 959-968.
- CLARKE, M. R. & MACLEOD, N., 1976. Cephalopod remains from sperm whales caught off Iceland. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc., U.K.* 56: 733-749.
- CLARKE, M. R., MACLEOD, N., & PALIZA, O., 1976. Cephalopod remains from the stomachs of sperm whales caught off Peru and Chile. *J. Zool., Lond.* 180: 477-493.
- CLARKE, M. R. & STEVENS, J. D., 1974. Cephalopods, blue sharks and migration. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc., U.K.* 54: 949-957.
- CLARKE, M. R. & TRILLMICH, F., 1980. Cephalopods in the diet of fur seals of the Galapagos Islands. *J. Zool., Lond.* 190: 211-219.
- COHEN, A. C., 1976. The systematics and distribution of *Loligo* (Cephalopoda, Myopsida) in the western North Atlantic, with description of two new species. *Malacologia*, 15(2): 299-367.
- HOCHBERG, F. G., 1980. Class Cephalopoda, pp. 201-204. In: R. C. Brusca (Ed.) *Common Intertidal Invertebrates of the Gulf of California*. University of Arizona Press, 1980.
- KRISTENSEN, T. K., 1981. The genus *Gonatus* Gray, 1849 (Mollusca: Cephalopoda) in the North Atlantic. A revision of the North Atlantic species and description of *Gonatus steenstrupi* n. sp. *Steenstrupia*, 7(4): 61-99.
- KUBODERA, T. & OKUTANI, T., 1977. Description of a new species of gonatid squid, *Gonatus madokai*, n. sp., from the Northwest Pacific, with notes on morphological changes with growth and distribution in immature stages (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida). *Jap. J. Malac.* 36(3): 123-151.
- KUBODERA, T. & OKUTANI, T., 1981. *Gonatus midden-dorffi*, a new species of gonatid squid from the northern North Pacific, with notes on morphological changes with growth and distribution in immature stages (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida). *Bull. Nat. Sci. Mus., Tokyo* 7(1): 7-26.
- KUBODERA, T. & OKUTANI, T., 1981. The systematics and identification of larval cephalopods from the northern North Pacific. *Res. Inst. North Pac. Fish.* Special volume: 131-159.
- LU, C. C., 1982. First record of *Todaropsis eblanae* (Ball, 1841) (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida) in the Pacific Ocean. *Jap. J. Malac.* 41(1): 67-70.
- LU, C. C., 1983. Recent Cephalopoda in the National Museum of Victoria. This volume.
- MANGOLD-WIRZ, K., 1963. Biologie des Cephalopodes benthiques et nectoniques de la Mer Catalane. *Vie Milieu Suppl.* 13: 1-295.
- McSWEENEY, E. S., 1978. Systematics and morphology of the Antarctic cranchiid squid *Galiteuthis glacialis* (Chun). *Ant. Res. Ser.* 27: 1-39.
- NATSUKARI, Y., 1976. Taxonomic and morphological studies on the loliginid squids II. Description and new

- record of *Doryteuthis sibogae* Adam, 1954 from Formosa. *Jap. J. Malac.* 35(1): 15-23.
- NATSUKARI, Y. & OKUTANI, T., 1975. Taxonomic and morphological studies of the loliginid squids I. Identity of *Loligo chinensis* Gray, 1849, redescription of the type specimen and taxonomic review. *Jap. J. Malac.* 34(3/4): 85-91.
- NESIS, K. N., 1972. A review of the squid genera *Taonius* and *Belonella* (Oegopsida, Cranchiidae). *Zool. Zhur.* 51(3): 341-350. [In Russian]
- NESIS, K. N., 1973. Taxonomy, phylogeny and evolution of squids of the family Gonatidae (Cephalopoda). *Zool. Zhur.* 52(11): 1626-1638. [In Russian]
- NESIS, K. N., 1974. A revision of the squid genera *Corynomma*, *Megalocranchia*, *Sandalops* and *Liguriella*. *Trans. Inst. Okeano.* 96: 6-22. [In Russian]
- OKIYAMA, M., 1969. A new species of *Gonatopsis* from the Japan Sea, with the record of a specimen referable to *Gonatopsis* sp. Okutani, 1967 (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida, Gonatidae). *Seto Mar. Biol. Lab.* 17(1): 19-32.
- OKIYAMA, M., 1970. A record of the eight-armed squid, *Gonatopsis octopedatus* Sasaki, from the Japan Sea (Cephalopoda, Oegopsida, Gonatidae). *Bull. Jap. Sea Reg. Fish. Res. Lab.* 22: 71-80.
- OKUTANI, T., 1973. Guide and keys to squid in Japan. *Bull. Tokai Reg. Fish. Res. Lab.* 74: 83-111.
- OKUTANI, T., 1974. Epipelagic decapod cephalopods collected by micronekton tows during the EASTROPAC Expeditions, 1967-1968 (Systematic Part). *Bull. Tokai Reg. Fish. Res. Lab.* 80: 29-118.
- OKUTANI, T., 1981. Two new species of the squid genus *Onykia* from the tropical Indian Ocean (Cephalopoda, Onychoteuthidae). *Bull. Nat. Sci. Mus., Tokyo* 7(4): 155-163.
- OKUTANI, T. & SATAKE, Y., 1978. Squids in the diet of 38 sperm whales caught in the Pacific waters off north-eastern Honshu, Japan, in February 1977. *Bull. Tokai Reg. Fish. Res. Lab.* 93: 13-27.
- OKUTANI, T., SATAKE, Y., OHSUMI, S., & KAWAKAMI, T., 1976. Squids eaten by sperm whales caught off Joban district, Japan, during January-February, 1976. *Bull. Tokai Reg. Fish. Res. Lab.* 87: 67-113.
- ROELEVELD, M. A., 1972. A review of the Sepiidae (Cephalopoda) of southern Africa. *Ann. South Afr. Mus.* 59(10): 193-313.
- ROPER, C. F. E., 1969. Systematics and zoogeography of the worldwide bathypelagic squid *Bathyteuthis* (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida). *U.S. Nat. Mus. Bull.* 291: 1-210.
- ROPER, C. F. E., 1977. Comparative captures of pelagic cephalopods by midwater trawls. pp. 61-87. In: M. Nixon & J. B. Messenger (Eds.). *The Biology of Cephalopods. Symp. Zool. Soc. Lond.* No. 38, 615 pp.
- ROPER, C. F. E., LU, C. C. & MANGOLD, K., 1969. A new species of *Illex* from the western Atlantic and distributional aspects of other *Illex* species (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida). *Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash.* 82: 295-332.
- ROPER, C. F. E. & SWEENEY, M. J., 1978. A catalog of the type-specimens of Recent Cephalopoda in the National Museum of Natural History. *Smithson. Contr. Zool.* 278: 1-19.
- ROPER, C. F. E. & SWEENEY, M. J., 1983. Techniques for fixation and preservation of cephalopods. This volume.
- ROPER, C. F. E. & VOSS, G. L., 1983. Guidelines for taxonomic descriptions of cephalopod species. This volume.
- ROPER, C. F. E. & YOUNG, R. E., 1968. The *Pro-machoteuthidae* (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida). I: A Re-evaluation of its systematic position based on new material from the Antarctic and adjacent waters. *Ant. Res. Ser.* 11: 203-214.
- ROPER, C. F. E., YOUNG, R. E., & VOSS, G. L., 1969. An illustrated key to the families of the Order Teuthoidea (Cephalopoda). *Smithson. Contr. Zool.* 13: 1-32.
- RUDMAN, W. B., 1983. The cephalopod collection of the Australian Museum. This volume.
- SAUNDERS, W. B., 1981. The species of living *Nautilus* and their distribution. *Veliger* 24(1): 8-17.
- SLACK-SMITH, S. M., 1983. The cephalopod collection of the Western Australian Museum. This volume.
- SMITH, A. G., 1974. Type and other cephalopods in the collection of the Department of Invertebrate Zoology, California Academy of Sciences. *Veliger* 16(3): 319-333.
- SMITH, P. J., ROBERTS, P. E., & HURST, R. J., 1981. Evidence for two species of arrow squid in the New Zealand fishery. *N.Z. J. Mar. Fresh. Res.* 15: 247-253.
- TAKI, I., 1961. On two new eledonid octopods from the Antarctic Sea. *J. Fac. Fish. Anim. Husb., Hiroshima Univer.* 3(2): 297-316.
- TAKI, I., 1963. On four newly known species of Octopoda from Japan. *J. Fac. Fish. Anim. Husb., Hiroshima Univer.* 5(1): 57-93.
- TAKI, I., 1964. On eleven new species of the Cephalopoda from Japan, including two new genera of Octopodinae. *J. Fac. Fish. Anim. Husb. Hiroshima Univer.* 5(2): 277-343.
- THOMAS, R. F., 1977. Systematics, distribution and biology of cephalopods of the genus *Tremoctopus* (Octopoda: Tremoctopodidae). *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 27(3): 353-392.
- VOSS, G. L., 1962. A monograph of the Cephalopoda of the North Atlantic. I. The family Lycoteuthidae. *Bull. Mar. Sci. Gulf and Carib.* 12(1): 264-305.
- VOSS, G. L., 1963. Cephalopods of the Philippine Islands. *U.S. Nat. Mus. Bull.* 234: 1-180.
- VOSS, G. L., 1968. Octopods from the R/V PILLSBURY southwestern Caribbean cruise, 1966, with a description of a new species, *Octopus zonatus*. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 18(3): 645-659.
- VOSS, G. L., 1971. Cephalopods collected by the R/V John Elliot Pillsbury in the Gulf of Panama in 1967. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 21(1): 1-34.
- VOSS, G. L., 1976. Two new species of octopods of the genus *Graneledone* (Mollusca: Cephalopoda) from the Southern Ocean. *Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash.* 88(42): 447-458.
- VOSS, G. L., 1977. Present status and new trends in cephalopod systematics. pp. 49-60. In: M. Nixon & J. B. Messenger (Eds.). *The Biology of Cephalopods. Symp. Zool. Soc. Lond.* No. 38, 615 pp.
- VOSS, N. A., 1969. A monograph of the Cephalopoda of

the North Atlantic. The family Histiotteuthidae. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 19(4): 713-867.

VOSS, N. A., 1974. Studies on the cephalopod family Cranchiidae. A redescription of *Egea inermis* Joubin, 1933. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 24(4): 939-956.

VOSS, N. A., 1980. A generic revision of the Cranchiidae (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida). *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 30(2): 365-412.

WORMUTH, J. R., 1976. The biogeography and numerical taxonomy of the oegopsid squid family Ommastrephidae in the Pacific Ocean. *Bull. Scripps Inst. Oceano.* 23: 1-90.

WORMUTH, J. R. & ROPER, C. F. E., 1983. Quantitative sampling of oceanic cephalopods by nets: problems and recommendations. *Biol. Oceano.* 2: 357-377.

YOUNG, R. E., 1972. The systematics and areal distribution of pelagic cephalopods from the seas off southern California. *Smithson. Contr. Zool.* 97: 1-159.

YOUNG, R. E. & ROPER, C. F. E., 1968. The Batoteuthidae, a new family of squid (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida) from Antarctic waters. *Ant. Res. Ser.* 11: 185-202.

YOUNG, R. E. & ROPER, C. F. E., 1969. A Monograph of the Cephalopoda of the North Atlantic. The Family Cycloteuthidae. *Smithson. Contr. Zool.* 5: 1-24.

YOUNG, R. E. & ROPER, C. F. E., 1969. A Monograph of the Cephalopoda of the North Atlantic. The Family Joubiniteuthidae. *Smithson. Contr. Zool.* 15: 1-10.

ZEIDLER, W., 1983. The cephalopod collection in the South Australian Museum. This volume.

ZEIDLER, W. & MACPHAIL, M. K., 1978. Mollusc type-specimens in the South Australian Museum. 1. Cephalopoda and Scaphopoda. *Rec. South Aust. Mus.* 17(26): 381-385.

Appendix 1: Addresses of Authors with Revisions in Progress

LOURDES A. BURGESS

106 Lexington Court, Red Bank, New Jersey, 07701, U.S.A.

CHRISTOPHER BUBLITZ

Institute of Marine Science, 905 Koyukuk Ave. N., University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska, 99701, U.S.A.

MALCOLM R. CLARKE

Marine Biological Association, Citadel Hill, Plymouth, PL1 2PB, England

F. G. HOCHBERG

Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, 2559 Puesta del Sol, Santa Barbara, California, 93105, U.S.A.

THOMAS K. KRISTENSEN

Danish Bilharziasis Laboratory, Jaegersborg Alle 1 D, DK-2920, Charlottelund, Denmark

TSUNEMI KUBODERA

Faculty of Fisheries, Hokkaido University, Hakodate, Hokkaido, Japan

C. C. LU

National Museum of Victoria, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia

MARTINA A. ROELVELD

South African Museum, P.O. Box 61, Cape Town 8000, South Africa

CLYDE F. E. ROPER & MICHAEL J. SWEENEY

National Museum of Natural History E-512, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., 20560, U.S.A.

RONALD B. TOLL

84 Briar Hills Circle, Springfield, New Jersey 07081, U.S.A.

GILBERT L. VOSS & NANCY A. VOSS

Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, Florida, 33149, U.S.A.

RICHARD E. YOUNG

Department of Oceanography, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 96822, U.S.A.

Appendix 2: Bibliography of Cephalopod Biology of the Australian-New Zealand Region

ADAM, W., 1938. *Robsonella* nom. nov. fur *Joubinia* Robson, 1929 (Cephalopoda: Octopoda). *Zoologischer Anzeiger.* 121(7/8): 223-224.

ADAM, W., 1939. Cephalopoda. Part 1. Le genre *Sepioteuthis* Blainville, 1824. *Siboga-Expeditie.* LVA: 1-33.

ADAM, W., 1939. Cephalopoda. Part 2. II—Revision des especes Indo-Malaises du genre *Sepia* Linne, 1758. III—Revision du genre *Sepiella* (Gray) Steenstrup, 1880. *Siboga-Expeditie.* LVb: 34-122.

ADAM, W., 1954. Cephalopoda. Part 3. IV—Cephalopodes a l'Exclusion des genres *Sepia*, *Sepiella* et *Sepioteuthis*. *Siboga-Expeditie.* LVC: 123-193.

ADAM, W., 1979. The Sepiidae (Cephalopoda: Decapoda) in the collections of the Western Australian Museum. *Records of the Western Australian Museum.* 7(2): 111-212.

ADAM, W. & REES, W. J., 1966. A review of the cephalopod family Sepiidae. *Scientific Reports of the John Murray Expedition 1933-34.* 11(1): 1-165.

ADAMS, A. & REEVE, L., 1850. Mollusca, Cephalopoda. *The Zoology of the Voyage of H.M.S. "Samarang".* (1848; pages 1-17).

ALLAN, J., 1933. The octopus and its allies. *Victorian Naturalist.* 49(10): 235-242.

ALLAN, J., 1940. A rare stalk-eyed squid (*Bathothauma lyromma* Chun) new to Australian waters. *Records of the Australian Museum.* 20(5): 320-324.

ALLAN, J., 1945. Planktonic cephalopod larvae from the eastern Australian coast. *Records of the Australian Museum.* 21(6): 317-350.

ALLAN, J., 1948. A rare Giant Squid. *Australian Museum Magazine,* December 30, 1948, page 308.

ANGAS, G. F., 1865. On the marine molluscan fauna of the province of South Australia, etc. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London.* 1865: 155-190.

ANGAS, G. F., 1867. A list of species of marine mollusca found in Port Jackson Harbour, N.S.W., and on the adjacent coasts, with notes on their habits, etc. Part I. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London.* 1867: 185-233.

ARAYA, H., 1983. Fishery, biology, and stock assessment of *Ommastrephes bartrami* in the North Pacific Ocean. This volume.

- BATHAM, E. J., 1957. Care of eggs of *Octopus maorum*. *Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand*, 84(3): 629-638.
- BELL, K. N., 1979. The breeding time and growth rate of *Sepia apama* (Mollusca: Cephalopoda). *Victorian Naturalist* 96: 19-20.
- BELL, K. N., 1979. Variation in the sepiops of Victorian cuttles (Mollusca: Cephalopoda). *Journal of Malacological Society of Australia*, 4: 157-166.
- BELL, K. N. & PLANT, R. J., 1977. Cuttle bones on Victorian beaches. *Victorian Naturalist*, 94: 115-118.
- BENHAM, W. B., 1942. The octopodous Mollusca of New Zealand—I. The midget octopus of the coastal waters. *Transactions and Proceedings of the Royal Society of New Zealand*, 72(3): 226-236.
- BENHAM, W. B., 1943. The octopodous Mollusca of New Zealand—II. *Transactions and Proceedings of the Royal Society of New Zealand*, 73(1): 53-57.
- BENHAM, W. B., 1943. The octopodous Mollusca of New Zealand—III. *Transactions and Proceedings of the Royal Society of New Zealand*, 73(2): 139-153.
- BENHAM, W. B., 1944. The octopodous Mollusca of New Zealand—IV. *Transactions and Proceedings of the Royal Society of New Zealand*, 73(4): 255-261.
- BENNETT, I., 1966. Some pelagic molluscs and associated animals in south-eastern Australian waters. *Journal of the Malacological Society of Australia*, 9: 40-51.
- BERRY, S. S., 1918. Report on the Cephalopoda obtained by the F.I.S. "Endeavour" in the Great Australian Bight and other southern Australian localities. *Biological Results of the Fishing Experiments Carried on by the F.I.S. "Endeavour", 1909-14*, 4(5): 203-298.
- BERRY, S. S., 1921. A review of the cephalopod genera *Sepioloidea*, *Sepiadarium*, and *Idiosepius*. *Records of the South Australian Museum*, 1(4): 347-364.
- BRAZIER, J., 1892. Catalogue of the marine shells of Australia and Tasmania. Part I. Cephalopoda. *Australian Museum, Catalogue*, 15: 1-19.
- BURN, R., 1957. On some Victorian cephalopods. *Journal of the Malacological Society of Australia*, 1: 46.
- BURN, R., 1959. Molluscan field notes. Part 3. *Victorian Naturalist*, 75: 179-181.
- CATON, A., 1981. *Hoyo Maru* takes good Gould's squid catches in Bass Strait Survey. *Australian Fisheries*, 40(12): 22-25.
- CHAPMAN, F., 1912. Note on the occurrence of some sepias new or little known to the Victorian Coast. *Victorian Naturalist*, 29(2): 23-25.
- CLARKE, M. R., 1966. A review of the systematics and ecology of oceanic squids. *Advances in Marine Biology*, 4: 91-300.
- CLARKE, M. R. & MACLEOD, N., 1982. Cephalopod remains from the stomachs of sperm whales caught in the Tasman Sea. *Memoirs of the National Museum of Victoria*, 43: 25-42.
- COLLINS, S. & BARON, M. P., 1981. Demersal and pelagic trawling survey of the M.T. *Denebola* in southern Australian waters. *Tasmanian Fisheries Research*, 24: 48 pp.
- COLLINS, S. & DUNNING, M., 1981. Tasman squid studies demonstrate gill netting effective. *Australian Fisheries*, 40(8): 2-6.
- COTTON, B. C., 1929. Contributions to the fauna of Rott-nest Island. 4. Western Australian Sepiidae. *Journal and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Western Australia*, 15: 87-94.
- COTTON, B. C., 1931. Cuttlebones from Robe, with description of a new species. *South Australian Naturalist*, 12(3): 39-42.
- COTTON, B. C., 1932. Notes on Australian Mollusca, with descriptions of new genera and new species. *Records of the South Australian Museum*, 4(4): 537-547.
- COTTON, B. C., 1937. Eggs and egg cases of some southern Australian Mollusca. *Records of the South Australian Museum*, 6(1): 101-103.
- COTTON, B. C., 1938. The spermatophores of *Rossia australis* Berry. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria*, 50(2): 338-340.
- COTTON, B. C., 1938. Octopus, squid and cuttlefish. *South Australian Naturalist*, 19(1): 10-12.
- COTTON, B. C., 1939. The Sir Joseph Banks Islands. 4. Mollusca, Part II. *General Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria*, 51(1): 159-176.
- COTTON, B. C., 1942. Cephalopods from stomach contents of fish from East and South Australia. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia*, 66(1): 83-84.
- COTTON, B. C., 1957. Records of uncommon southern Australian molluscs. *Records of the South Australian Museum*, 13(1): 117-130.
- COTTON, B. C., 1958. Note on *Nautilus repertus* and *Nautilus scrobiculatus*. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia*, 81: 162.
- COTTON, B. C., 1960. Note on a large specimen of *Nototodarus gouldi* (McCoy, 1888). *Journal of the Malacological Society of Australia*, 4: 70.
- COTTON, B. C. & GODFREY, F. K., 1940. A systematic list of the Pelecypoda, Scaphopoda, Cephalopoda and Crepiposa. *Malacological Society of South Australia*, 2: 1-32.
- COX, J., 1882. Australian Octopodidae. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales*, 6: 773-789.
- DALL, W. H., 1908. The Mollusca and the Brachiopoda In: Reports on the scientific results of the expedition to the eastern tropical Pacific . . . *Albatross*. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard*, 43(6): 224-229.
- DELL, R. K., 1951. A new species of squid, *Histioteuthis cookiana* from New Zealand waters. *Zoology Publications from Victoria University College*, 14: 1-6.
- DELL, R. K., 1952. The Recent Cephalopoda of New Zealand. *Dominion Museum Bulletin*, 16: 1-157.
- DELL, R. K., 1959. Some additional New Zealand cephalopods from Cook Strait. *Zoology Publications from Victoria University of Wellington*, 25: 1-12.
- DELL, R. K., 1959. Cephalopoda. *British-Australian-New Zealand Antarctic Research Expedition Reports*, B, 8(4): 89-106.
- DELL, R. K., 1970. A specimen of the giant squid *Architeuthis* from New Zealand. *Records of the Dominion Museum*, 7(4): 25-36.
- DEW, B., 1959. Some observations on the development of two Australian octopuses. *Proceedings of the Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales*, 1957-1958: 44-51.

- DIX, T., 1981. Octopus survey in Bass Strait. *Fintas*. 4(2): 4-7.
- DIX, T. & MARTIN, A., 1975. Exploratory squid fishing in inshore northern Tasmanian waters September-April 1974/75. *Tasmanian Fisheries Research*. 9(1): 1-6.
- FLECKER, H. & COTTON, B. C., 1955. Fatal bite from octopus. *Medical Journal of Australia*. Aug. 27, 1955: 329-332.
- GARRARD, T. A., 1961. Mollusca collected by M.V. "Challenger" off the East coast of Australia. *Journal of Malacological Society of Australia*. 5: 3-37.
- GATLIFF, J. H. & GABRIEL, C. J., 1909. Additions to the catalogue of the marine shells of Victoria. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria*. 22(1): 37-46.
- GATLIFF, J. H. & GABRIEL, C. J., 1922. Additions to and alterations in the catalogue of Victorian marine Mollusca. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria*, 34: 128-161.
- GORMAN, T. B. & GRAHAM, K. J., 1981. *Kapala* takes small but encouraging squid catches. *Australian Fisheries*. 40(8): 7-10.
- GRAY, J. E., 1849. Catalogue of the Mollusca in the collection of the British Museum. Part I. Cephalopoda Antepedia, London, 164 pp.
- HARRISON, A. J., 1979. Preliminary assessment of a squid fishery off Tasmania, pp. 4-26. In: Rogers, H. E. (Ed.) *Squid Outlook, Tasmania 1970-80*. Hobart: Tasmanian Fisheries.
- HEDLEY, C., 1906. The Mollusca of Mast Head Reef, Capricorn Group, Queensland. Part I. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales*. 31(3): 453-479.
- HEDLEY, C., 1908. *Sepia braggi* Verco, a record for the state. *Records of the Australian Museum*. 7: 134.
- HEDLEY, C., 1916. A preliminary index of the Mollusca of Western Australia. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia*. 1: 1-77.
- HEDLEY, C., 1918. A checklist of the marine fauna of New South Wales. Part I. *Journal and Proceedings of the Royal Society of New South Wales*. 51: M1-M120.
- HEDLEY, C., 1923. Studies on Australian Mollusca. Part XIV. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales*. 48(3): 301-316.
- HOYLE, W. E., 1885. The Cephalopoda. *Report of the Voyage of H.M.S. "Challenger"*, Narrative. 1(1): 269-274.
- HOYLE, W. E., 1885. Diagnoses of new species of Cephalopoda collected during the cruise of H.M.S. "Challenger". Part I. The Octopoda. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*. (5)15: 222-236.
- HOYLE, W. E., 1885. Diagnoses of new species of Cephalopoda collected during the cruise of H.M.S. "Challenger". Part II. The Decapoda. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*. (5)16: 181-203.
- HOYLE, W. E., 1885. Preliminary report on the Cephalopoda collected during the cruise of H.M.S. "Challenger". Part I. The Octopoda. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*. 13: 94-114.
- HOYLE, W. E., 1885. Preliminary report on the Cephalopoda collected during the cruise of H.M.S. "Challenger". Part II. The Decapoda. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*. 13: 281-310.
- HOYLE, W. E., 1886. Report on the Cephalopoda collected by H.M.S. "Challenger" during the years 1873-1876. *Report of the Voyage of H.M.S. "Challenger"*, Zoology. 16(44): 1-246.
- HOYLE, W. E., 1904. Reports on the Cephalopoda. In: Reports on the scientific results of the expedition to the eastern tropical Pacific . . . *Albatross. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard*. 43(1): 1-71.
- HUTTON, F. W., 1880. Manual of the New Zealand Mollusca. Wellington, N.Z., 224 pp.
- IMBER, M. J., 1978. The squid families Cranchiidae and Gonatidae in the New Zealand region. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology*. 5: 445-484.
- IREDALE, T., 1926. The cuttle-fish "Bones" of the Sydney beaches. *Australian Zoologist*. 4(3): 186-196.
- IREDALE, T., 1926. The Biology of North-West Islet, Capricorn Group D. Marine Mollusca. *Australian Zoologist*. 4: 238-240.
- IREDALE, T., 1940. Marine Mollusca from Lord Howe Island, Norfolk Island, Australia and New Caledonia. *Australian Zoologist*. 9: 429-443.
- IREDALE, T., 1944. Australian Pearly Nautilus. *Australian Zoologist*. 10(3): 294-298.
- IREDALE, T., 1954. Cuttle-fish "Bones" again. *Australian Zoologist*. 12(1): 63-82.
- IREDALE, T. & MCMICHAEL, D. F., 1962. A reference list of the marine Mollusca of New South Wales. *Memoirs of the Australian Museum*. 11: 1-109.
- JAMESON, J., 1981. Bass Strait trials show mesh netting for red ocean squid has potential. *Australian Fisheries*. 40(12): 20-29.
- JOLL, L. M., 1976. Mating, egg-laying and hatching of *Octopus tetricus* (Mollusca, Cephalopoda) in the laboratory. *Marine Biology*. 36: 327-333.
- JOLL, L. M., 1977. The predation of pot-caught western rock lobster (*Panulirus longipes cygnus*) by octopus. *Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Western Australia, Report*. 29: 1-58.
- JOLL, L. M., 1977. Growth and food intake of *Octopus tetricus* (Mollusca: Cephalopoda) in aquaria. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*. 28: 45-56.
- JOLL, L. M., 1978. Observations on the embryonic development of *Octopus tetricus*. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*. 29: 19-30.
- KAWAKAMI, T., 1976. The fishery biological study on a squid, *Nototodarus sloani sloani* (Gray) in the New Zealand waters. *Bulletin of the Tokai Regional Fisheries Research Laboratory*. 85: 31-104.
- KAWAKAMI, T., SASAGAWA, Y., & HAMABE, M., 1972. A preliminary note on the ecology of the ommastrephid squid *Nototadarus sloani sloani* (Gray) in New Zealand waters. *Bulletin of the Tokai Regional Fisheries Research Laboratory*. 70: 1-23.
- KIRK, T. W., 1882. Description of a new Cephalopoda. *Transactions of the New Zealand Institute*. 14: 283-286.
- LARCOMBE, M. F. & RUSSELL, B. C., 1971. Egg laying behaviour of the broad squid, *Sepioteuthis bilineata*. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*. 5(1): 3-11.

- LE SOUEF, A. S. & ALLAN, J. K., 1933. Habits of the Sydney octopus (*Octopus cyaneus*) in captivity. *Australian Zoologist*. 7(5): 373-376.
- LE SOUEF, A. S. & ALLAN, J. K., 1937. Breeding habits of a female octopus. *Australian Zoologist*. 9(1): 64-67.
- LESUEUR, C. A., 1821. Description of several new species of cuttle-fish. *Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia*. 2: 86-101.
- LIU, H. C. & LAI, H. L., 1980. Cost-revenue analysis of Taiwanese pair trawlers operated in Australian waters. *Acta Oceanographica Taiwanica*. 11: 217-227.
- LIU, H. C., LAI, H. L. & YEH, S., 1978. General review of demersal fish resources in the Sunda Shelf and the Australian waters. *Acta Oceanographica Taiwanica*. 8: 109-140.
- LU, C. C., 1982. First record of *Todaropsis eblanae* (Ball, 1841) (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida) in the Pacific Ocean. *Japanese Journal of Malacology*. 41(1): 67-70.
- LU, C. C., 1983. The recent Cephalopoda in the National Museum of Victoria. This volume.
- LU, C. C. & DUNNING, M., 1982. Guide to the identification of arrow squid of the family Ommastrephidae in Australian waters. *Victorian Institute of Marine Sciences Technical Report*. No. 2, 30 pp.
- MACHIDA, S., 1983. A brief review of the squid survey by *Hoyo Maru* No. 67 in southeast Australian waters in 1979/80. This volume.
- MACPHERSON, J. H., 1966. Brachiopoda and Mollusca. *Memoirs of the National Museum, Melbourne*. 27: 199-384.
- MACPHERSON, J. H. & GABRIEL, C. J., 1962. Marine Molluscs of Victoria. Melbourne University Press, 475 pp.
- MASSY, A. L., 1916. Mollusca. Part II. Cephalopoda. *British Antarctic ("Terra Nova") Expedition, 1910. Natural History Reports, Zoology*. 2(7): 141-175.
- MAY, W. L., 1910. New marine Mollusca. *Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania*. 1910: 1-19.
- MAY, W. L., 1915. Additions to the Tasmanian marine Mollusca, with descriptions of new species. *Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania*. 1915: 75-99.
- MAY, W. L., 1923. An illustrated index of Tasmanian shells. Tasmanian Government Printer, Hobart, 114 pp.
- MCCOY, F., 1882. Natural History of Victoria. *Argonauta oryzata* (Meusch.). *Prodromus of the Zoology of Victoria*. Decade 7: 7-10.
- MCCOY, F., 1883. Natural History Victoria. *Sepioteuthis australis* (Quoy & Gaim.). *Prodromus of the Zoology of Victoria*. Decade, 8: 27-28.
- MCCOY, F., 1888. Natural History of Victoria. *Ommastrephes gouldi*. (McCoy). *Prodromus of the Zoology of Victoria*. Decade, 17: 255-257.
- MCCOY, F., 1889. Natural History of Victoria. *Sepia apama* (Gray). *Prodromus of the Zoology of Victoria*. Decade, 19: 325-327.
- McMICHAEL, D. F., 1964. The identity of the venomous octopus responsible for a fatal bite at Darwin, Northern Territory. *Journal of the Malacological Society of Australia*. 1(8): 23-24.
- MEYER, W. T., 1909. Cephalopoda. *Fauna Sudwest-Australians*. 2(19): 329-335.
- NESIS, K. N., 1979. Squid of the family Ommastrephidae in the Australian-New Zealand region. *Trudy Instituta Okeanologii*. 106: 140-146.
- ODHNER, N. H., 1917. Results of Dr. E. Mjobergs Swedish Scientific Expedition to Australia 1910-1913. XVII, Mollusca. *Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar*. 52(16): 1-115.
- O'SULLIVAN, D., 1980. The food and feeding of the squid *Nototodarus gouldi* (McCoy) in Bass Strait and adjacent waters. CSc. Honors Thesis, Monash University, 56 pp.
- O'SULLIVAN, D., 1980. Biology of Gould's squid in Bass Strait studies. *Australian Fisheries*. 39(2): 18-19.
- OVERATH, H. & BOLETZKY, S. VON, 1974. Laboratory observations on spawning and embryonic development of a blue-ringed octopus. *Marine Biology*, 27: 333-337.
- PFEFFER, G., 1884. Die cephalopoden des Hamburger Naturhistorischen Museums. *Abhandlungen und Verhandlungen des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins, Hamburg*. 81: 1-30.
- POWELL, A. W. B., 1960. Antarctic and Subantarctic Mollusca. *Records of the Auckland Institute and Museum*. 5(3/4): 185-187.
- QUOY, J. R. C. & GAIMARD, J. P., 1832. Voyage de l'*Astrolabe*, pendant les années 1826-1829. Zoology, 2. Paris, 320 pp.
- REEVE, L., 1843-1878. *Conchologia Iconica; or Illustrations of the Shells of Molluscous Animals*. London, 20 volumes.
- RICHARDSON, B. J., 1983. Protein variation in *Nototodarus gouldi* from southeastern Australia. This volume.
- RIDDLE, A. R., 1920. An adventitious occurrence of *Nautilus pompilius* Linn. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia*, 44: 257-262.
- ROBERTS, P. E., 1983. The biology of jig-caught arrow squid (*Nototodarus* spp.) in New Zealand waters. This volume.
- ROBERTSON, D. A. & ROBERTS, P. E., 1978. Mesopelagic faunal transection across the Subtropical Convergence East of New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, 12(4): 295-312.
- ROBSON, G. C., 1914. Cephalopoda from the Monte Bello Islands. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*. 1914: 677-680.
- ROBSON, G. C., 1928. Notes on the Cephalopoda. VI. On *Grimpella*, a new genus of the Octopoda, with remarks on the classification of the Octopodidae. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*. (10)2: 108-114.
- ROBSON, G. C., 1928. On the giant octopus of New Zealand. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*. 17(1): 257-264.
- ROBSON, G. C., 1929. A monograph of the Recent Cephalopoda. Part I. Octopodinae. London: British Museum (Natural History). 236 pp.
- ROBSON, G. C., 1932. A monograph of the Recent Cephalopoda. Part II. The Octopoda (excluding the Octopodinae). London: British Museum (Natural History). 359 pp.

- ROPER, C. F. E. & SWEENEY, M. J., 1976. The pelagic octopod *Ocythoe tuberculata* Rafinesque, 1814. *Bulletin of the American Malacological Union* for 1975: 21-28.
- RUDMAN, W. B., 1983. The cephalopod collections of the Australian Museum. This volume.
- RUSSELL, B. C., 1974. Chance encounter on a rubber reef. *Australian Natural History*, 18(3): 110-113.
- SHAW, G. & NODDER, F., 1790-1801. The Naturalist's Miscellany, London, Volumes 1-12.
- SLACK-SMITH, S. M., 1983. The cephalopod collection of the Western Australian Museum. This volume.
- SMITH, E. A., 1884. Mollusca. *Report on the Zoological Collections made in the Indo-Pacific Ocean during the Voyage of the H.M.S. "Alert" 1881-2*: 34-116.
- SMITH, H., 1983. Fishery and biology of *Nototodarus gouldi* in western Bass Strait. This volume.
- SMITH, J. D., 1983. Radio-nuclides and heavy metals in *Nototodarus gouldi*. This volume.
- SMITH, P. J., ROBERTS, P. E. & HURST, R. J., 1981. Evidence for two species of arrow squid in the New Zealand fishery. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*. 15: 247-253.
- SUTER, H., 1913. Manual of the New Zealand Mollusca. Wellington, N.Z., 1120 pp.
- TAIT, R. W., 1980. Aspects of the ecology and life history of *Octopus australis* Hoyle, from northern Port Phillip Bay. BSc Honors Thesis, Monash University.
- TAIT, R. W., 1982. A taxonomic revision of *Octopus australis* Hoyle, 1885 (Octopodidae: Cephalopoda), with a redescription of the species. *Memoirs of the National Museum of Victoria*. 43: 15-24.
- TATE, R. & MAY, W. L., 1901. A revised census of the marine Mollusca of Tasmania. *Proceedings of the Linnæan Society of New South Wales*. 26(3): 344-471.
- TENISON-WOODS, J. E., 1877. On some new Tasmanian marine shells. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania*. 1877: 21-24.
- TENISON-WOODS, J. E., 1888. On the anatomy and life history of Mollusca peculiar to Australia. *Transactions and Journal of the Royal Society of New South Wales*. 1888: 106-187.
- THIELE, J., 1920. Cephalopoden. *Die Deutsche Sudpolar Expedition, Zoologie*. 8: 433-465.
- TRANTOR, J. H. & AUGUSTINE, O. 1978. Observations on the life history of the blue-ringed octopus *Hapalochlaena maculosa*. *Marine Biology*, 18: 115-128.
- TUNG, I. H., 1978. On the biology and the fishing of the squid *Nototodarus sloani sloani* (Gray) in the New Zealand waters. *Report of the Institute of Fishery Biology, Ministry of Economic Affairs and National Taiwan University*. 3(3): 44-64.
- VERCO, J. C., 1907. Notes on South Australian marine Mollusca with descriptions of new species. Part VI. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia*. 31: 213-230.
- VERCO, J. C., 1912. Notes on the marine shells of Western Australia, with descriptions of new species. Part 2. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia*. 36: 192-205.
- VERCO, J. C. & COTTON, B. C., 1928. South Australian Cephalopoda. *Records of the South Australian Museum*. 4(1): 125-133.
- VOSS, G. L., 1983. A review of cephalopod fisheries biology. This volume.
- WADLEY, V. A. & LU, C. C., 1983. Distribution of mesopelagic cephalopods around a warm-core ring in the east Australian current. This volume.
- WHITELEGGE, T., 1889. List of the marine and freshwater invertebrate fauna of Port Jackson and the neighbourhood. *Journal and Proceedings of the Royal Society of New South Wales*. 23: 163-230.
- WILKINS, G. L., 1953. A catalogue and historical account of the Sloane shell collection. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Historical Series* 1(1): 1-47.
- WINSTANLEY, R. H., POTTER, M. A., & CANTON, A. E. 1983. Australian cephalopod resources. This volume.
- WOLFE, D. C., 1972. Squid fishing and the Tasmanian potential. *Tasmanian Fisheries Research*. 6(2): 26-31.
- ZEIDLER, W., 1981. A giant deep-sea squid, *Taningia* sp., from South Australian waters. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia*. 105(4): 218.
- ZEIDLER, W., 1983. The cephalopod collection in the South Australian Museum. This volume.
- ZEIDLER, W. & MACPHAIL, M. K., 1978. Mollusc type-specimens in the South Australian Museum. 1. Cephalopoda and Scaphapoda. *Records of the South Australian Museum*, 17(26): 381-385.